UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,260	10/31/2003	Richard J. Schneider	IGT1P288X1/AC020 CIP1, 2064 AC	
22434 BEYER WEA	7590 12/20/2007 VER LLP		EXAMINER	INER
P.O. BOX 70250			LANEAU, RONALD	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(c)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/699,260	SCHNEIDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ronald Laneau	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divide apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	NN. imely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	<u>October 2007</u> .					
a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 16-19 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 16-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir		Everning				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11232007.	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

10/699,260 Art Unit: 3714

1. The amendment filed on 10/22/07 has been entered. Claims 1-7 and 16-19 remain pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/23/07 was filed after the mailing date of the first Office action on 6/18/07. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Acres (US 6,319,125 B1).

As per claims 1, 6 and 16, Acres discloses a gaming network comprising: a gaming device including a base game and a secondary bonus feature, the secondary bonus feature playable by players identified to the gaming device (se abs.); player tracking hardware structured to identify a player of the gaming device; a player tracking system structured to store data about

10/699,260

Art Unit: 3714

gameplay of the player of the gaming device (card reader to identify and track the players); and a player processing system structured to record a present state of the secondary bonus feature (col. 4, lines 21-32), wherein the present state of the secondary bonus feature can be recalled from stored data during a subsequent gaming session, the secondary bonus feature including a series of trigger events in which the secondary bonus feature advances to a non-initial state upon the occurrence of a trigger event, at a non-initial state a player identified to the gaming device acquires an award randomly selected from a group of possible awards, and at the end of the secondary bonus feature the player is rewarded based on the number or types of awards acquired (col. 4, lines 45-63; welcome back bonuses are sent to the DACOM host and recorded, when the user inserts their card at a subsequent session in a different gaming device, the present state of the welcome back bonus can be recalled from the DACOM host and the points required for each welcome back bonus can be cumulatively earned over successive visits providing a plurality on non-initial states).

As per claims 2-4, Acres discloses a gaming network wherein the award is capable of having an initial state and one or more non-initial states; wherein the game is structured to configure a state of the award to one of the non-initial states; and wherein the game is structured to configure a state of the award for the player to one of the non-initial states in a present gaming session if the award was in one of the non-initial states in a previous gaming session of the player as claimed (see figs. 1-6).

As per claims 5, 17 and 18, Acres discloses a gaming network further comprising a message controller resident on the gaming device and a messaging center in the player processing system (see figs. 36-40).

Application/Control Number:

10/699,260

Art Unit: 3714

Page 4

As per claim 7, the gaming network as taught by Acres is capable of communicating

using XML messaging as claimed.

As per claim 19, Acres discloses a gaming network wherein the gaming device is

structured to communicate to the player tracking system over a first communication network, and

wherein the gaming device is structured to communicate to the player server over a second

communication network (see fig. 7).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 16-19 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Schneider et al (US 5,639,088) discloses a system whereby a plurality of players can play

a plurality of different gaming machines and wherein the performance of the plurality of

players is recorded through multiple rounds of the game and awards are provided based

upon the performance of the player over multiple rounds of the game.

Application/Control Number:

10/699,260

Art Unit: 3714

Page 5

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fonald Janeau Ronald Laneau

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3714

12/18/07

rl